arXiv:1611.00516v1 [math.DG] 2 Nov 2016

ON THE VOLUME OF LOCALLY CONFORMALLY FLAT 4 DIMENSIONAL HYPERSPHERE

QING CUI AND LINLIN SUN

Abstract. Let M be a 5 dimensional Riemannian manifold with $Sec_M \in [0,1]$, Σ be a locally conformally flat hypersphere in M with mean curvature H. We prove that, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that $\int_\Sigma (1+H^2)^2 \geq 8\pi^2/3$, provided $|H| \leq \varepsilon_0$. In particular, if Σ is a locally conformally flat minimal hypersphere in M, then $Vol(\Sigma) \geq 8\pi^2/3$, which partially answer a question proposed by Mazet and Rosenberg [7]. For an $(n+1)-$dimensional rotationally symmetric Riemannian manifold M, we show that an immersed hypersurface Σ is locally conformally flat if and only if $(n-1)$ of the principal curvatures of Σ are the same, which is a generalization of Cartan's result [4]. As an application, we prove that if M is (some special but large class) rotationally symmetric 5-manifold with $Sec_M \in [0,1]$, and Σ is a locally conformally flat hypersphere with mean curvature H, the inequality $\int_\Sigma (1+H^2)^2 \geq 8\pi^2/3$ holds for all H.

1. Introduction

Let M be a 2-sphere with a smooth Riemannian metric such that the curvature is between 0 and 1. It is known (see [6] or [9]) that the length of an embedded closed geodesic in M is at least 2π, which is the length of the standard circle in Euclidean plane. When M is a Riemannian 3-manifold with sectional curvature between 0 and 1, one can easily apply Gauss equation and Gauss-Bonnet theorem to obtain that an embedded minimal sphere Σ in M has area at least 4π, that is

$$4\pi = \int_\Sigma Sec_\Sigma = \int_\Sigma R_{1212} = \int_\Sigma \left(\overline{R}_{1212} - \frac{1}{2}|A|^2 \right) \leq \int_\Sigma \overline{R}_{1212} \leq Vol\,(\Sigma)\,,$$

where R and $\overline{R}$ denote the curvature tensors of Σ and M, A denotes the second fundamental form of Σ in M.

In [7], Mazet and Rosenberg study the equality case and get a rigidity theorem for M when the equality holds. The authors also put forward two very interesting questions, one of them is, if M is an (n+1)-Riemannian manifold with $Sec_M \in [0,1]$, does an embedded minimal hypersphere (i.e.,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C42; Secondary 53C40.

Key words and phrases. hypersphere, locally conformally flat, 4 dimensional, rotationally symmetric, principal curvatures.

This work is partially supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11601442) and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 2682016CX114). This work is also supported by "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities".

minimal hypersurface diffeomorphic to the standard Euclidean n-sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$) has volume at least the volume of $\mathbb{S}^n$? As early as in 1974, Hoffman and Spruck [5] studied the isoperimetric inequality and showed, if M is a simply connected Riemannian $(n+1)$-manifold with $Sec_M \in [1/4, 1]$, then any closed minimal hypersurface has at least the volume of $\mathbb{S}^n$. Therefore, if the answer of the Mazet and Rosenberg's question is true, it can be seen as a generalization (with topological restricted) of Hoffman and Spruck's result. We would like to point out that, if $Sec_M \in [0, 1]$, the topological restriction on Σ is necessary. Actually, given $\varepsilon > 0$, let Σ be a flat $n-$torus with $Vol(\Sigma) \leq \varepsilon$ (which can be done by passing a dilation), then Σ is a totally geodesic closed hypersurface embedded in $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ whose sectional curvature is 0.

Note that in the case of $n = 2$, every surface admits an isothermal coordinates and therefore is locally conformally flat. It is seems natural to add the condition "locally conformally flat" on the hypersurface in high dimensional case. In this paper, we focus our attention on the case of $n = 4$, pose the assumption that Σ is locally conformally flat, and partially answer the question proposed by Mazet and Rosenberg. Actually, we get a more general result as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a 5-dimensional Riemannian manifold with $Sec_M \in [0, 1]$, and Σ be an embedded locally conformally flat hypersphere in M with mean curvature H. Then we have*

$$\int_\Sigma \left((1+H^2)^2 + |H| f(|H|)\right) \geq \frac{8\pi^2}{3}, \tag{1}$$

where f is a nonnegative function defined in Section 2.

Moreover, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that if $|H| \leq \varepsilon_0$, we obtain,

$$\int_\Sigma \left(1+H^2\right)^2 \geq \frac{8\pi^2}{3}. \tag{2}$$

The equality holds if and only if the mean curvature H is constant, Σ is totally umbilic and isometric to $\mathbb{S}^4(\frac{1}{1+H^2})$.

As an immediate corollary of theorem 1.1, the following result partially answer the question proposed by Mazet and Rosenberg.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a 5-dimensional Riemannian manifold with $Sec_M \in [0, 1]$, and Σ be an embedded locally conformally flat minimal hypersphere in M. Then*

$$Vol(\Sigma) \geq \frac{8\pi^2}{3} = Vol\left(\mathbb{S}^4\right).$$

The equality holds if and only if Σ is totally geodesic and isometric to $\mathbb{S}^4$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some fundamental formulas and notations and give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we prove a generalization of Cartan's result about the relation of locally conformally flatness and the principal curvatures, see Lemma

3.1. Using this lemma, we deal with a special case when M is rotationally symmetric and get the lower bound volume for all H, see Theorem 3.2.

2. Preliminary and proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $(M, \bar{g})$ be an $n+1$ dimensional Riemmannian manifold, and (Σ, g) be a hypersurface isometric immersed in M. If there is no ambiguity, $\langle \cdot\,,\, \cdot \rangle$ will denote both $\bar{g}$ and g. Let $\overline{\nabla}$ and ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection induced by metric $\bar{g}$ and g respectively. Let R be the curvature tensor on Σ defined by, for all $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathcal{X}(T\Sigma)$,

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle,$$

where $R(X, Y) = -\nabla_X \nabla_Y + \nabla_Y \nabla_X + \nabla_{[X,Y]}$. Also let $\overline{R}$ be the curvature tensor on M which is defined similarly.

Let $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ be a local orthonormal frame on Σ. For all $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$, write

$$R_{ijkl} = R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l), \quad \overline{R}_{ijkl} = \overline{R}(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l).$$

The sectional curvature will be

$$Sec_M(e_i \wedge e_j) = R_{ijij}, \quad Sec_{\overline{M}}(e_i \wedge e_j) = \overline{R}_{ijij}.$$

Let A be the second fundamental form of Σ in M, $h_{ij} = \langle A(e_i), e_j \rangle$ be the coefficients of A. Then the Gauss equation can be written as

$$R_{ijkl} = \overline{R}_{ijkl} + h_{ik}h_{jl} - h_{il}h_{jk}.$$

We also denote by $\mathbb{S}^n$ be the standard unit n sphere in $n+1$ Euclidean space and by $\mathbb{S}^n(r)$ be the round n-sphere with radius r. Now we will prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula for a closed 4-manifold Σ is (see [2] or [3])

$$4\pi^2 \chi(\Sigma) = \int_\Sigma \left(\frac{S^2}{12} - \frac{|Ric|^2}{4} + \frac{|W|^2}{8} \right), \tag{3}$$

where $\chi(\Sigma)$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ, S is the scalar curvature, Ric is the Ricci tensor and W is the Weyl tensor. It is well known that, when dimension grater than 3, locally conformally flatness equivalent to Weyl tensor vanishing. Therefore, to prove the first part of the theorem, it is sufficient to prove, pointwisely,

Claim. $$Q := \frac{S^2}{12} - \frac{|Ric|^2}{4} \leq 3(1 + H^2)^2 + 3|H| f(|H|).$$

Next we will consider our problem at one point $p \in \Sigma$ (in the calculations, we omit the letter "p" for simplicity). Throughout this proof, i, j, k, l will range from 1 to 4 if there is no special explanation.

Firstly, by the Gauss equation, we obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4)\quad S^2 &= \left(\sum_{i,j} R_{ijij}\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{i,j} \overline{R}_{ijij} + 16H^2 - |A|^2\right)^2 = \left(\sigma + 12H^2 - |\mathring{A}|^2\right)^2 \\
&= \sigma^2 + 144H^4 + |\mathring{A}|^4 + 24\sigma H^2 - 2\sigma|\mathring{A}|^2 - 24H^2|\mathring{A}|^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\sigma := \sum_{i,j} \overline{R}_{ijij}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathring{A} := A - HI,
$$

i.e., $\mathring{A}$ is the traceless part of A.

For simplicity, let e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 be the principal directions at the point p, and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4$ be the corresponding principal curvatures, we have

$$
|Ric|^2 = \sum_{i,j}\left(\sum_k R_{ikjk}\right)^2 = \sum_{i,j}\left(\sum_k \overline{R}_{ikjk} + 4\delta_{ij}\lambda_i H - \delta_{ij}\lambda_i\lambda_j\right)^2
$$

For simplifying $|Ric|^2$, we need to introduce some notations as follows:

$$
a_{ij} := \sum_k \overline{R}_{ikjk}, \quad \mathring{a}_{ij} := \sum_k \overline{R}_{ikjk} - \frac{\sigma}{4}\delta_{ij}.
$$

Note that σ is the trace of (a_{ij}) and $(\mathring{a}_{ij})$ is the traceless part of (a_{ij}). Using these notations, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(5)\qquad |Ric|^2 =& \sum_{i,j}\left(a_{ij} + 4\delta_{ij}\lambda_i H - \delta_{ij}\lambda_i\lambda_j\right)^2 \\
=& \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2 + 16H^2|A|^2 + \sum_i \lambda_i^4 \\
&+ 8H\sum_i \lambda_i a_{ii} - 2\sum_i\left(\lambda_i^2 a_{ii}\right) - 8H\sum_i \lambda_i^3 \\
=& \frac{\sigma^2}{4} + |\mathring{a}|^2 + 16H^2|\mathring{A}|^2 + 64H^4 + \sum_i \lambda_i^4 \\
&- 2\sum_i\left(\lambda_i^2 - 4H\lambda_i\right)a_{ii} - 8H\sum_i \lambda_i^3,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\mathring{a}|^2 = \sum_{i,j} \mathring{a}_{ij}^2$. Next we set $\mu_i = \lambda_i - H$ which are the eigenvalue of $\mathring{A}$. Then by a direct computation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(6)\qquad \sum_i \lambda_i^4 &= \sum_i \mu_i^4 + 12H^4 - 6H^2|A|^2 + 4H\sum_i \lambda_i^3 \\
&= \sum_i \mu_i^4 - 12H^4 - 6H^2|\mathring{A}|^2 + 4H\sum_i \lambda_i^3,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
(7)\qquad \sum_i \lambda_i^3 = \sum_i \mu_i^3 + 4H^3 + 3H|\mathring{A}|^2.
$$

Combined (4), (5), (6) and (7) we obtain

$$Q = \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{4} + 6\sigma H^2 + 36H^4 + |\mathring{A}|^4 - 3\sum_i \mu_i^4 + 6\sum_i \mu_i^2\left(a_{ii} - \frac{\sigma}{3}\right) - 12H\sum_i \mu_i a_{ii} - 18H^2|\mathring{A}|^2 + 12H\sum_i \mu_i^3 - 3|\mathring{a}|^2\right). \tag{8}$$

We first prove the Claim, and we will divid our proof into two main cases. We will see that the locally conformally flatness will play a key role in the estimate of Q.

(i) At the point p, $|\mathring{A}|^2(p) \leq 12 + 24H^2(p)$.

By the Gauss equation,

$$S = \sigma + 12H^2 - |\mathring{A}|^2,$$

where σ is defined in (4). Since $0 \leq \sigma \leq 12$, on one hand

$$S = \sigma + 12H^2 - |\mathring{A}|^2 \leq 12 + 12H^2.$$

On the other hand,

$$S = \sigma + 12H^2 - |\mathring{A}|^2 \geq 12H^2 - (12 + 24H^2) = -12(H^2 + 1).$$

The above two inequalities yield $S^2 \leq 144(1 + H^2)^2$. Therefore,

$$Q = \frac{S^2}{12} - \frac{|Ric|^2}{4} = \frac{S^2}{48} - \frac{|E|^2}{4} \leq \frac{S^2}{48} \leq 3(H^2 + 1)^2,$$

where E is the traceless part of the Ricci tensor, namely the Einstein tensor.

(ii) At the point p, $|\mathring{A}|^2(p) \geq 12 + 24H^2(p)$.

The proof of this case is more difficult than case (i). To prove the claim, we need to estimate Q by using the equality (8).

First note that for a fixed i, the term $a_{ii} - \frac{\sigma}{3}$ is bounded above by 1. We take $i = 1$ for example:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} - \frac{\sigma}{3} &= \sum_k \overline{R}_{1k1k} - \frac{\sigma}{3} = \sum_k \overline{R}_{1k1k} - \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i,j} \overline{R}_{ijij} \\ &= \frac{1}{3}\left(\overline{R}_{1212} + \overline{R}_{1313} + \overline{R}_{1414}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\left(\overline{R}_{2323} + \overline{R}_{2424} + \overline{R}_{3434}\right) \leq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where we have used the curvature condition that $0 \leq \overline{R}_{ijij} \leq 1$ for all $i \neq j$.

By a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i \mu_i^4 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i^4 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i\right)^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i\right)^2\right)^2 + 4\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}|\mathring{A}|^4 - 4\prod_i \mu_i := \frac{1}{2}|\mathring{A}|^4 - 4\mathcal{K},\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\mathcal{K} = \prod_i \mu_i$ is the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of $\mathring{A}$.

Obeserve that $\sum_i \mu_i = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&-12H\sum_i \mu_i a_{ii} - 3|\mathring{a}|^2 \\ &= -12H\sum_i \mu_i \mathring{a}_{ii} - 3|\mathring{a}|^2 \\ &= -3\sum_i \left(\mathring{a}_{ii}^2 - 4H\mu_i\mathring{a}_{ii} + 4H^2\mu_i^2\right) + 12H^2|\mathring{A}|^2 - 3\sum_{i\neq j}\mathring{a}_{ij}^2 \\ &\leq 12H^2|\mathring{A}|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Combined (8), (9), (10), (11) and the fact that $0 \leq \sigma \leq 12$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}Q \leq& 3\left(1+H^2\right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{12}\left(-\frac{1}{2}|\mathring{A}|^4 + 12H\sum_i \mu_i^3 + 6(1-H^2)|\mathring{A}|^2 + 12\mathcal{K}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Next we will take the Weyl tensor into consideration. The Weyl tensor defined in a coordinate chart is given by (see e.g. [1], p117)

$$\begin{aligned}W_{ijkl} =& R_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{2}\left(R_{ik}g_{jl} - R_{il}g_{jk} + R_{jl}g_{ik} - R_{ik}g_{il}\right) \\ &+ \frac{S}{6}\left(g_{jl}g_{ik} - g_{jk}g_{il}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $R_{ij} = \sum_k R_{ikjk}$ is the Ricci tensor. Therefore, when $i \neq j$, we have,

$$W_{ijij} = R_{ijij} - \frac{1}{2}\left(R_{ii} + R_{jj}\right) + \frac{S}{6}.$$

Now we fix $i = 1$ and $j = 2$ for example, and get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{S}{6} - W_{1212} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(R_{11} + R_{22}\right) - R_{1212} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(R_{1212} + R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} + R_{3434}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(R_{1212} + R_{3434}\right) \\
&= \frac{S}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\left(R_{1212} + R_{3434}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, for $\{i, j, k, l\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{S}{6} &= R_{ijij} + R_{klkl} - 2W_{ijij} \\
&= \overline{R}_{ijij} + \overline{R}_{klkl} - 2W_{ijij} + \lambda_i\lambda_j + \lambda_k\lambda_l \\
&= \overline{R}_{ijij} + \overline{R}_{klkl} - 2W_{ijij} + 2H^2 \\
&\quad + (\lambda_i - H)(\lambda_j - H) + (\lambda_k - H)(\lambda_l - H). \\
&= \overline{R}_{ijij} + \overline{R}_{klkl} - 2W_{ijij} + 2H^2 + \mu_i\mu_j + \mu_k\mu_l.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Note that the above formula has no summation on i, j, k, l.

In what follows, without lose of generality, we assume, at the point p,

$$\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \mu_3 \geq \mu_4.$$

Next we will split our proof of case (ii) into three parts according to the values of $\mathcal{K}$ (defined in (10)) and μ_i.

(a) $\mathcal{K}(p) \geq 0$, $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq 0 \geq \mu_3 \geq \mu_4$.
Let $i = 1$ and $j = 2$ in (14), and since locally conformally flatness implies $W \equiv 0$, we get

$$\frac{S}{6} = \overline{R}_{ijij} + \overline{R}_{klkl} + 2H^2 + \mu_1\mu_2 + \mu_3\mu_4 \geq 2H^2.$$

Consequently, $S \geq 12H^2 \geq -12(1 + H^2)$. The remain proof of this part is similar with case (i).

(b) $\mathcal{K}(p) < 0$ and $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \mu_3 > 0 > \mu_4$.
In this part, a direct computation gives

$$\sum_i \mu_i^3 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_i\right)^3 \leq 0. \tag{15}$$

Therefore, combined (12), (15) and the assumption $\mathcal{K} \leq 0$, we obtain

$$Q \leq 3\left(1 + H^2\right)^2 - \frac{1}{24}|\mathring{A}|^2\left(|\mathring{A}|^2 - 12(1 - H^2)\right). \tag{16}$$

Note that in case (ii)

$$|\mathring{A}|^2 \geq 12 + 24H^2 \geq 12(1 - H^2).$$

Thus the second term in the right hand side of (16) is nonpositive, and consequently we have $Q \leq 3(1 + H^2)^2$.

(c) $\mathcal{K}(p) < 0$ and $\mu_1 > 0 > \mu_2 \geq \mu_3 \geq \mu_4$.
In this part, inequality (12) is not enough for our estimate, and we will go back into the equality (8) and estimate term by term.
Firstly, $\sum_i \mu_i^3 \geq 0$, and we will use the following inequality (see [10, Lemma 1])

$$\sum_i \mu^3 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |\mathring{A}|^3. \tag{17}$$

Secondly, for the term $6\sum_i \mu_i^2 \left(a_{ii} - \frac{\sigma}{3}\right)$, under the assumption of this part, we will use a more accurate (than (9)) estimate as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&3\sum_i \mu_i^2 \left(a_{ii} - \frac{\sigma}{3}\right) \qquad (18)\\
=&\mu_1^2 \left(\overline{R}_{1212} + \overline{R}_{1313} + \overline{R}_{1414} - 2\left(\overline{R}_{2323} + \overline{R}_{2424} + \overline{R}_{3434}\right)\right)\\
&+ \mu_2^2 \left(\overline{R}_{2121} + \overline{R}_{2323} + \overline{R}_{2424} - 2\left(\overline{R}_{1313} + \overline{R}_{1414} + \overline{R}_{3434}\right)\right)\\
&+ \mu_3^2 \left(\overline{R}_{3131} + \overline{R}_{3232} + \overline{R}_{3434} - 2\left(\overline{R}_{1212} + \overline{R}_{1414} + \overline{R}_{2424}\right)\right)\\
&+ \mu_4^2 \left(\overline{R}_{4141} + \overline{R}_{4242} + \overline{R}_{4343} - 2\left(\overline{R}_{1212} + \overline{R}_{1313} + \overline{R}_{2323}\right)\right)\\
=&(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 - 2(\mu_3^2 + \mu_4^2))\overline{R}_{1212} + (\mu_1^2 + \mu_3^2 - 2(\mu_2^2 + \mu_4^2))\overline{R}_{1313}\\
&+ (\mu_1^2 + \mu_4^2 - 2(\mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2))\overline{R}_{1414} + (\mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2 - 2(\mu_1^2 + \mu_4^2))\overline{R}_{2323}\\
&+ (\mu_2^2 + \mu_4^2 - 2(\mu_1^2 + \mu_3^2))\overline{R}_{2424} + (\mu_3^2 + \mu_4^2 - 2(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2))\overline{R}_{3434}\\
\leq&(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 - 2(\mu_3^2 + \mu_4^2))\overline{R}_{1212} + (\mu_1^2 + \mu_3^2 - 2(\mu_2^2 + \mu_4^2))\overline{R}_{1313}\\
&+ (\mu_1^2 + \mu_4^2 - 2(\mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2))\overline{R}_{1414}\\
=&(-2\mu_1\mu_2 - (\mu_3 - \mu_4)^2)\overline{R}_{1212} + (-2\mu_1\mu_3 - (\mu_2 - \mu_4)^2)\overline{R}_{1313}\\
&+ (-2\mu_1\mu_4 - (\mu_2 - \mu_3)^2)\overline{R}_{1414}\\
\leq&-2\mu_1(\mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) = 2\mu_1^2 \leq \frac{3}{2}|\mathring{A}|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts that

$$\mu_1 > 0 > \mu_2 \geq \mu_3 \geq \mu_4, \quad \sum_i \mu_i = 0,$$

and the inequality

$$|\mathring{A}|^2 = \sum_i \mu_i^2 \geq \mu_1^2 + \frac{(\mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)^2}{3} = \frac{4}{3}\mu_1^2.$$

Combined (8), (10), (11), (17), (18) and the fact that $0 \leq \sigma \leq 12$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q \leq & 3\left(1+H^2\right)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{12}\left(-\frac{1}{2}|\mathring{A}|^4 + 4\sqrt{3}|H||\mathring{A}|^3 + 3(1-2H^2)|\mathring{A}|^2\right) \\
:= & 3\left(1+H^2\right)^2 + F(|\mathring{A}|),
\end{aligned} \tag{19}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(|\mathring{A}|) &= \frac{1}{12}\left(-\frac{1}{2}|\mathring{A}|^4 + 4\sqrt{3}|H||\mathring{A}|^3 + 3(1-2H^2)|\mathring{A}|^2\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{12}\left(-\frac{1}{2}(|\mathring{A}|^4 - 6|\mathring{A}|^2) + |H|(4\sqrt{3}|\mathring{A}|^3 - 6|H||\mathring{A}|^2)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{20}
$$

It is easy to see $F(x)$ attains its maximum at $x_0 = 3\sqrt{3}|H| + \sqrt{3+21H^2}$ and decreasing when $x \geq x_0$.
Keep in mind that this part is one of the three parts of case (ii), which assumes that

$$|\mathring{A}|^2 \geq 12 + 24H^2.$$

Therefore, if $x_0 \leq \sqrt{12+24H^2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(|\mathring{A}|) &\leq F(\sqrt{12+24H^2}) \\
&= -12H^2(2H^2+1) + |H|\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{2}|H|x^2\right)\Big|_{x=\sqrt{12+24H^2}} \\
&\leq |H| f_1(|H|),
\end{aligned} \tag{21}
$$

where

$$f_1(|H|) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{2}|H|x^2\right)\Big|_{x=\sqrt{12+24H^2}} \geq 0.$$

If $x_0 \geq \sqrt{12+24H^2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(|\mathring{A}|) &\leq F(x_0) \\
&= \frac{1}{12}\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x^4 - 6x^2)|_{x=x_0} + |H|(4\sqrt{3}x^3 - 6|H|x^2)|_{x=x_0}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{12}\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x^4 - 6x^2)|_{x=\sqrt{12+24H^2}}\right) + |H| f_2(|H|), \\
&\leq -12H^2(2H^2+1) + |H| f_2(|H|) \\
&\leq |H| f_2(|H|),
\end{aligned} \tag{22}
$$

where

$$f_2(|H|) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{2}|H|x^2\right)\Big|_{x=x_0} \geq 0.$$

Combined (19), (20), (21) and (22), we get

$$Q \leq 3(1+H^2)^2 + 3|H|f(|H|), \tag{23}$$

where $f(|H|)$ is a function of $|H|$ defined by

$$3f(|H|) := \begin{cases} f_1(|H|), & x_0 \leq \sqrt{12+24H^2}, \\ f_2(|H|), & x_0 \geq \sqrt{12+24H^2}. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

To sum up the above two cases, we have proved the Claim, and inequality (1) follows immediately.

Next we will show if $|H|$ is small, inequality (2) holds. Check all the cases in the proof of the Claim, we find inequality (2) holds except for the case (ii) (c). Thus, it is enough to show, if $|H|$ is small, inequality (2) holds in the case (ii) (c). By (19), it is sufficient to show $F(|\mathring{A}|) \leq 0$ when $|H|$ is small. Observe that $F(|\mathring{A}|)$ can be decomposed as

$$F(|\mathring{A}|) = -\frac{|\mathring{A}|^2}{24}\left(|\mathring{A}| - \eta_1\right)\left(|\mathring{A}| - \eta_2\right), \tag{25}$$

where $\eta_1 = 4\sqrt{3}|H| - \sqrt{6+36H^2}$, $\eta_2 = 4\sqrt{3}|H| + \sqrt{6+36H^2}$. Remeber that in case (ii), $|\mathring{A}| \geq \sqrt{12+24H^2}$. It is easy to see, if $|H|$ is small, say $|H| \leq \varepsilon_0$ for some constant ε_0,

$$|\mathring{A}| \geq \sqrt{12+24H^2} \geq \eta_2 > \eta_1,$$

which implies $F(|\mathring{A}|) \leq 0$.

Check the above arguments step by step, we find the equality holds in (2) if and only if

$$\sigma = \sum_{i,j} \overline{R}_{ijij} = 12, \quad \mathring{A} \equiv 0,$$

which implies Σ is totally umbilic and

$$\overline{R}_{ijij} = 1, \quad \text{for all} \quad i \neq j.$$

Therefore, by the Gauss equation, we get, for all $i \neq j$,

$$R_{ijij} = \overline{R}_{ijij} + \lambda_i\lambda_j = 1 + (\mu_i + H)(\mu_j + H) = 1 + H^2, \tag{26}$$

which means the sectional curvature of Σ at one point p is the same for all tagent plane $\pi \in T_p\Sigma$. By Schur's lemma, Sec_Σ is constant. Hence, by (26), H is constant and $Sec_\Sigma \equiv 1 + H^2$. Therefore, Σ is isometric to $\mathbb{S}^4(\frac{1}{1+H^2})$, since by our assumption, Σ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^4$. □

Remark. The condition "$|H| \leq \varepsilon_0$" is just a technical condition. The constant ε_0 can be taken to be $\sqrt{\frac{368\sqrt{3}-598}{46}}$. But this is not the best number. Actually, after a long calculation similar as (18), we can get a better estimate than (11) and finally improve ε_0. We believe the condition "$|H| \leq \varepsilon_0$" is not necessary for inequality (2). Actually, in next section, we study a special case when M is rotationally symmetric and show that inequality (2) holds for all H.

3. A special case

In this section, we will deal with a special case, the ambient manifold is rotionally symmetric, i.e., $M = \mathbb{R} \times_\varphi \mathbb{S}^n$ with the metric

$$g = dt^2 + \varphi^2(t) ds_n^2, \tag{27}$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is a smooth positive function, and ds_n^2 is the standard metric of $\mathbb{S}^n$. Denote by ∂_t the unit vector in the $\mathbb{R}$ direction, and assume X, Y are two vectors tangent to $\mathbb{S}^n$, then the curvature tensor is given by (see [8, section 4.2.3])

$$\overline{R}(X \wedge \partial_t) = -\frac{\ddot{\varphi}}{\varphi} X \wedge \partial_t, \quad \overline{R}(X \wedge Y) = \frac{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2}{\varphi^2} X \wedge Y. \tag{28}$$

For simplicity we write

$$\kappa_1 := -\frac{\ddot{\varphi}}{\varphi}, \quad \kappa_2 := \frac{1 - \dot{\varphi}^2}{\varphi^2}. \tag{29}$$

Let Σ be a hypersurfaces in M, T be the tangential (with Σ) part of ∂_t, $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ be the local orthonormal frame on Σ. Write $T_i = g(T, e_i)$. Decomposed each e_i into two parts

$$e_i = e_i' + g(e_i, \partial_t) = e_i' + T_i, \tag{30}$$

where e_i' tantgent to $\mathbb{S}^n$. A direct computation, by using (28), (30) and the multilinerity of the curvature tensor, gives

$$\overline{R}_{ijkl} = \kappa_2(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\left(T_i T_k \delta_{jl} + T_j T_l \delta_{ik} - T_i T_l \delta_{jk} - T_j T_k \delta_{il}\right). \tag{31}$$

Therefore, for $i \neq j$, we have

$$R_{ijij} = \overline{R}_{ijij} + \lambda_i \lambda_j = \kappa_2 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\left(T_i^2 + T_j^2\right) + \lambda_i \lambda_j. \tag{32}$$

We need the following lemma, which we believe is of independent interest and will be used in other places.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be an $n+1 (n \geq 4)$ dimensional rotationally symmetric Riemannian manifold with metric (27), and Σ be a hypersurface in M. Then Σ is locally conformally flat if and only if at each point $p \in \Sigma$, there are at most two distinct principal curvatures, one of them has multiplicity $n-1$.*

Proof. We will adopt the notations in section 2. In this proof, i, j, k, l will range from 1 to n. By using the Weyl tensor formula ([1, p117]), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{ijij} =& R_{ijij} - \frac{1}{n-2}\left(R_{ii} + R_{jj}\right) + \frac{S}{(n-1)(n-2)} \\
=& \kappa_2 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\left(T_i^2 + T_j^2\right) + \lambda_i\lambda_j \\
& - \frac{1}{n-2}\sum_{k\neq i}\left(\kappa_2 + (\kappa_1-\kappa_2)\left(T_i^2 + T_k^2\right) + \lambda_i\lambda_k\right) \\
& - \frac{1}{n-2}\sum_{k\neq j}\left(\kappa_2 + (\kappa_1-\kappa_2)\left(T_j^2 + T_k^2\right) + \lambda_j\lambda_k\right) \\
& + \frac{\sum_{k\neq l}\left(\kappa_2 + (\kappa_1-\kappa_2)\left(T_k^2 + T_l^2\right)\right) + n^2H^2 - |A|^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \\
=& \lambda_i\lambda_j - \frac{1}{n-2}\left(\sum_{k\neq i}\lambda_i\lambda_k + \sum_{k\neq j}\lambda_j\lambda_k\right) + \frac{n^2H^2 - |A|^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}
$$

Using the relations

$$\lambda_k = \mu_k + H, \quad |A|^2 = |\mathring{A}|^2 + nH^2,$$

we substitute μ_k for λ_k in the above equality and obtain

$$W_{ijij} = \frac{(\mu_i+\mu_j)^2 + (n-4)\mu_i\mu_j}{n-2} - \frac{|\mathring{A}|^2}{(n-1)(n-2)}.$$

Therefore, Σ is

locally conformally flat $\Longleftrightarrow W \equiv 0$

$$\text{(at each point)} \Longleftrightarrow (\mu_i+\mu_j)^2 + (n-4)\mu_i\mu_j = \frac{|\mathring{A}|^2}{(n-1)}, \forall i \neq j$$

$$(\sum_i \mu_i = 0, |\mathring{A}|^2 = \sum_i \mu_i^2) \Longleftrightarrow \mu_i = \mu_j \ \text{ or } \ \mu_i = -(n-1)\mu_j, \forall i \neq j.$$

Thus $\{\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n\} = \{\mu, -(n-1)\mu\}$, and μ has multiplicity $n-1$. Consequently,

$$\{\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n\} = \{\mu + H, -(n-1)\mu + H\},$$

and $\mu + H$ has multiplicity $n-1$. □

Remark. Note that given special φ in (27), M will be of constant sectional curvature. Actually,

$$M = \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^{n+1}, & \varphi(t) = \sin(t), \\ \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, & \varphi(t) = t, \\ \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, & \varphi(t) = \sinh(t). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the above lemma is a generalization of Cartan's result (see [4]) which states that a hypersurface in a space form (dim>4) is locally conformally flat if and only if $n-1$ principal curvatures are the same.

With the aid of the above lemma, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a rotationally symmetric Riemannian 5-manifold with $0 \leq \kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2 \leq 1$ (κ_1 and κ_2 are defined in (29)), and Σ be a locally conformally flat hypersphere embedded in M with mean curvature H, then*

$$\int_\Sigma (1+H^2)^2 \geq \frac{8\pi^2}{3}.$$

The equality holds if and only if H is constant, Σ is totally umbilic and isometric to $\mathbb{S}^4\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^2}}\right)$.

Proof. We will adopt the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 3.1, we have $|\mu_1| = 3|\mu_i|$, $i = 2, 3, 4$. Therefore,

$$\sum_i \mu_i^4 = \frac{7}{12}|\mathring{A}|^4.$$

Direct computations by using (31) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= 12\kappa_2 + 6(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)|T|^2, \\ a_{ii} &= 3\kappa_2 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)(2T_i^2 + |T|^2), \\ |\mathring{a}|^2 &= 3(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2|T|^4. \end{aligned}$$

Insert the above equalities into (8), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} Q =& 3(\kappa_2 + H^2)^2 + \frac{1}{12}\left(-\frac{3}{4}|\mathring{A}|^4 + 12H\sum_i \mu_i^3 - 6(\kappa_2 + 3H^2)|\mathring{A}|^2\right) \\ &+ \frac{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)}{2}\left(\left(6 + |\mathring{A}|^2 + 4H^2\right)|T|^2 + 2\sum_i T_i^2(\mu_i - H)^2\right) \\ \leq& 3(\kappa_2 + H^2)^2 + \frac{1}{12}\left(-\frac{3}{4}|\mathring{A}|^4 + 12H\sum_i \mu_i^3 - 6(\kappa_2 + 3H^2)|\mathring{A}|^2\right) \\ \leq& 3(\kappa_2 + H^2)^2 + \frac{1}{12}\left(-\frac{3}{4}|\mathring{A}|^4 + 4\sqrt{3}|H|\ |\mathring{A}|^3 - 6(\kappa_2 + 3H^2)|\mathring{A}|^2\right) \\ \leq& 3(\kappa_2 + H^2)^2 \leq 3(1 + H^2)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The remain proof is similar as the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Remark. The assumption "$0 \leq \kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2 \leq 1$" is reasonable for many manifolds. For example,
- if we take $\varphi(t) = \sin(t)$, then $M = \mathbb{S}^5$ and $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 \equiv 1$;
- if we take $\varphi(t) \equiv 1$, then $M = \mathbb{S}^4 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \equiv \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 \equiv 1$.

References

[1] T. Aubin, Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer Science & Business Media, 1998.

[2] A. Avez, *Applications de la formule de Gauss-Bonnet-Chern aux variétés à quatre dimensions*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 1963, 256(26): 5488-5490.

[3] A. L. Besse, Einstein manifolds, Springer, 1987.

[4] E. Cartan, *La déformation des hypersurfaces dans l'espace conforme réel à $n \geq 5$ dimensions*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 45 (1917), 57-121.
[5] D. Hoffman and J. Spruck, *Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities for Riemannian submanifolds.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (1974), 715-727.
[6] W. Klingenberg, *Contributions to Riemannian geometry in the large,* Ann. of Math., 1959, 69(2): 654-666.
[7] L. Mazet and H. Rosenberg, *On minimal spheres with area 4π and rigidity,* Comm. Math. Helv., 2014, 89(4): 921-928.
[8] P. Petersen, Riemannian geometry. Third edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 171. Springer, Cham, 2016.
[9] A. Pogorelov, *A theorem regarding geodesics on closed convex surfaces.* Matematicheskii Sbornik, 1946, 60(1): 181-183.
[10] H. W. Xu and Z. Y. Xu, *The second pinching theorem for hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a sphere.* Math. Ann. 2013, 356(3): 869-883.

School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, 611756 Chengdu, Sichuan, China

E-mail address: qingcui@impa.br

School of Mathematics Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, 230026 Hefei, Anhui, China

E-mail address: sunll@ustc.edu.cn